

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ANNETTE L. TURNER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ELIZABETH NOZERO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-cv-00190-PMP-PAL

ORDER

(IFP App - Dkt. #4)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's Order (Dkt. #3) entered February 22, 2011. Plaintiff Annette L. Turner is proceeding in this action *pro se* and has requested authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Previously, she submitted a Complaint and an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Dkt. #1) on February 3, 2011. The court denied Plaintiff's Application without prejudice because it was incomplete. The Application represented that in the past twelve months, Plaintiff received income from "other sources." However, Plaintiff did not respond to the follow-up question asking her to "describe below or on separate pages each source of money and state the amount that [she] received and what [she] expects to receive in the future." As a result, the court could not determine whether Plaintiff qualified to proceed *in forma pauperis*.


Although Plaintiff filed another Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Dkt. #4) on March 10, 2011, this Application is identical to the previous Application and does not describe the source or amount of Plaintiff's "other income" as set forth in the court's previous Order (Dkt. #3). Plaintiff shall be permitted one final opportunity to complete an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* that describes her other income, stating both the amount she received and what she expects to receive in the future.

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 3 1. Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Dkt. #4) is DENIED WITHOUT
4 PREJUDICE.
- 5 2. The Clerk of Court shall mail Plaintiff a blank Application to Proceed *In Forma*
6 *Pauperis* for non-incarcerated litigants.
- 7 3. Plaintiff shall complete the Application in full and file it with the court no later than
8 **April 15, 2011.**
- 9 4. Failure to comply with this Order will result in a recommendation to the District Judge
10 that Plaintiff's Application be denied.

11 Dated this 14th day of March, 2011.

12
13 
14 PEGGY A. LEEN
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28